

: 100181670S-EN

The GG satellite mission: synthesis of the 2009 ASI Phase A2 study

Alberto Anselmi¹ and Antonio Sposito²

¹ ThalesAlenia Space Italia, Torino ² Agenzia Spaziale Italiana, Roma



All rights reserved, 2010, Thales Alenia Space

THALES



itolion



Experiment principle

Page 2

Weak Equivalence Principle: "in a gravitational field, all test particles at the same point in space-time undergo the same acceleration"

- Gravity locally equivalent to accelerated frame
- Free falling frame locally equivalent to inertial frame



Objective: 0.5 pico-m displacement between 2 rotors in supercritical rotation

- equilibrium position very close to the rotation axis, reducing the otherwise destructive effects of centrifugal forces.
- weakly suspended fast spinning rotor tends to spin around its center of mass, i.e. it behaves like a free rotor
- Signal of known frequency, known direction

Fast spin (60 rpm)

- Needed for supercritical rotation
- Modulation of the signal
- Most perturbing effects (e.g. due to spacecraft mass anomalies, non-uniform thermal expansion, parasitic capacitances, etc.) are DC because the entire system is spinning
- Reduction of 1/f noise

Lab apparatus in principle the same as space apparatus. In space:

- Factor of 1000 improvement because of stronger driving force (whole Earth gravity)
- Factor of 1000 improvement because of smaller perturbing forces





Past and present GG studies

Page 3

1995: GG proposed to ESA

1995-96: first Alenia studies

- Oct. 96: presentation to ESA/FPAG
- 1997: GG proposed to ASI

1998: First ASI Phase A study

- Dec. 98:ASI Workshop on small satellite mission studies
- 2001: Add-on study: GG in SSO
- 2004: Start of GGG lab experiment

2007: GG Phase A2 RFP

2008-09: GG Phase A2 study

Development of GG simulator

2009 Phase A2 Study

- Kick-off 16.09.2008, Final Presentation 18.06.2009
- □ 6 project meetings, 3 reviews
- 3 TAS-I centres + 4 subcontracting companies + 2 scientific institutes involved
 - TAS-I Torino-Milano-Firenze
 - ALTA, ALTEC, DTM, SILO
 - National Metrology Institute, Polytechnic of Torino
- 14 permanent members of the TAS-I (Torino) GG study team
- 30 documents submitted to the Preliminary Requirements Review
- **3** custom-built software simulators
- □ 1 working breadboard of spin sensor





GG technical baseline

Page 5

□ 500 kg launch mass

- □ 500 W power demand
- □ 350 kbit/s telemetry rate
- Equatorial circular orbit, 700 km altitude
- □ 4 passes per day telemetry dump
- □ 1 year mission + 1 year extension



- 2 concentric test masses within a vibration suppression assembly (PGB)
- **2** payload electronics units
- **Capacitive sensing and detection**
- □ 1 Hz spin
- Cold-gas attitude acquisition and spinup
- FEEP or Cold-Gas Proportional Thruster drag-free control
- High accuracy measurement of spin rate (Spin rate sensor)
- □ 10 launch-lock devices





GG error budget

Page 6

Amplitude Spectrum of EP signal and disturbing effects (affecting measurements in the XY plane) as observed in the IRF

- Signal and most effective deterministic disturbances (smallest frequency separation)
 - Most effecting disturbances at v_{EP} well below the signal
 - One week duration of the elementary experiment ensures good frequency separation for all the competing lines, including the first line at 2 × v_{EP}





GG Software Simulator

Spacecraft shell, PGB, TMi, TMo + dummy "reference frame" body : 27 DoFs Gravity and gravity gradient are "on" Current mass/inertia properties for all bodies

- (including proof masses quadrupole moment)
- Orbit altitude h = 630 km to match the reference non gravitational acceleration 2×10^{-7} m/s²
- Stiffness reproducing PGB modes and common and differential proof masses modes in the XY plane and along Z, according to the mission requirements
- Mechanical quality factor is lowered for TMs in order to amplify whirl motion
- **Environment fully modeled**
- $\eta = 10^{-17}$ for all the science simulations (science target)
- Quadruple precision to predict science performance of the mission



Polar plot of the differential displacement in the LVLH reference frame. After a transient, the TMs relative displacement has nearly null mean value along Y_{LVLH} and 0.525 pm mean value along X_{LVLH}



Technology challenge

Page 8

Specification of experiment requirements developed as part of this study

Ultimate test by analysis (S/W simulator)

Cannot be tested on ground at required sensitivity

italiana

Technology requirements:

- Soft springs, precise machining, mass balancing: demonstrated in the lab
- Capacitive readout: state of the art
- Spin rate measurement (1E-5 fractional accuracy): functioning breadboard available
- Drag Free Control:
 - constrained by design to same requirements as LISA Pathfinder (qualification ongoing)
 - GOCE heritage
- Charge control: test masses are grounded by springs; local charges (patch effects) can be measured on the ground (demonstrated in the lab)
- Cleanliness: baking of "hydrogen-rich" test mass (high density polyethylene); outgassing of experiment chamber allowed by design



Capacitance bridge plates



FEEP thruster cluster







Page 9

Four control loops

X-Y drag control the most demanding

- Rejection greater than 1/50,000 at 1Hz
- Accounting for response time of the available thrusters (> 30ms).

Control solution based on the integration of a sort of notch filter

 actually, to model periodic drag, a harmonic oscillator in the state observer



- In use at TAS-I since several years
- GOCE the latest application
 THALES

Spin-rate Relative rotation between PGB and satellite around spin-axis controller Z commanded torque Z commanded forc Thruster Capacitor XY drag-free XY commanded force assembly sensors controller Spinning spacecraft XY commaniated for XY whirl and PGB controller Spin rate sensor Capacitor actuators -Z drag-free controller Z comma ded Controlled Plant force Z axis PGB-spacecraft displacement XY PGB-spacecraft displacement Spin rate

BL OOS

All rights reserved, 2010, Thales Alenia Space







Page 10

No	Parameter	Unit	Value	FEEP status			
1	Maximum thrust	μN >=150		Thruster is designed and currently being qualified for a maximum thrust of 150 μ N. Command capability is, at present, greater than 204.8 μ N, and thrust up to 540 μ N was recorded during one test			
2	Max thruster response time	ms 40		Current response time (for 60 μ N step from 0 to 60 μ N) is about 80 to 150 ms, (depending on thrust and up or down command), with command frequency at 10 Hz. Step response can be improved up to 30-40ms reducing internal delay, fall time, by biasing minimum thrust (e.g. working with thrust higher than 70 μ N) and/or adding some internal dissipation.			
3	Resolution (quantization)	μΝ	24	Thruster/PCU are designed and currently being qualified for a thrust resolution of 0.1 μN			
4	Max noise	µN/√Hz	18	The thruster is being qualified for $0.03 \mu \text{N}/\text{Hz}$ (range 0.006 to 5 Hz)			
5	Scale factor error	%	12	PCU allows scale factor correction and re-calibration with a 12 bit resolution (individual command correction). Requirement is not deemed critical.			
6	Update command rate	Hz	10	Already available for Lisa Pathfinder			
7	Total impulse	Ns	4500	Thruster is designed vs. a requirement of 2900 Ns (Lisa Pathfinder). Life test (on QM) will be performed up to 1100 Ns (with possible extension to higher total impulse). Analysis will be performed to predict EOL performance. At present, > 1000 Ns were verified at EM level.			
8	Minimum thrust	μΝ	<=10	Thruster is designed and currently being qualified for a minimum thrust of 0.3 $\mu\text{N}.$			
9	Vector stability	rad	0.17	For thrust greater than 10 µN is always met.			
10	Centrifugal acceleration	g	<4.4	Not met by current design. Modification of thruster design, and, in particular, of tank position and shape, to minimize hydrostatic head will permit to achieve the requirement.			



- TAS-I + Team of Small Italian companies (ALTEC, ALTA, DTM, SILO) + scientific institutes (PoliTo, INRIM)
- □ 4-yr development plan

GG workshop Pisa / S. Piero a Grado

12.02.2010

□ 70M€estimated cost-at-completion (excluding launch)





Programme Schedule

Page 12

ID	Task Name		Year 1		Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
		Qtr 4	Qtr 1 Qtr 2	Qtr 3 Qtr 4	Qtr 1 Qtr 2 Qtr 3 Qtr 4	Otr 1 Otr 2 Otr 3 Otr 4	4 Qtr 1 Qtr 2 Qtr 3 Q	tr 4 Qtr 1 Qtr 2 Qtr 3
1	GG planning	_ •						
2	GG phase B K.O T0		∳ 1					
3	GG Preeliminary Design Review (PDR) - Start C/D			→				
4	GG Critical Design Review (CDR)				◆			
5	GG Proto-Flight Model TRR - Start System I&T				T T	▶		
6	GG Flight Acceptance Review (FAR)						₩	
7	GG Launch Readness Review (LRR)							▶
8	Payload phases B/C/D							
9	PGB Kick Off	₩	¢↓					
10	Flight PGB Design		4					
11	Flight PGB Development & Testing							
12	Flight PGB ready for I&T on Satellite					•	4	
13	GG platform phase B	ا	¥.					
14	System I/F Consolidation		Ľ	L				
15	Units and S/S draft specification							
16	GSE adaptation/definition for GG							
17	GG phase C/D							
18	GG Equipments / SS Detailed Specification			Ľ.				
19	GG Platform Equipment Procurement					·		
20	GG PT structure Procurement (including H/N & propulsion)					-		
21	GG PT Non Standard Items Development Models							
22	GG GSE procurement			Ļ				
23	GG SW Development & Test							
24	ATB adaptation for GG							
25	GG SW testing on ATB							
26	GG Platform I&T and functional test (incl. FEEP)							
27	GG PGB Integration and Functional Verifications							
28	GG System Testing						1	
29	Environmental Test (TV/TB, Mechanical, EMC)							
30	GG ready for Launch Campaign						★	
31	GG phase E							
32	Launch Campaign							
33	GG ready to Launch							
34	GG Launch							-